

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
15 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
16 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

16 IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,  
17 PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT  
18 LITIGATION

MDL No. 3084 CRB

18 This Document Relates to:

19 *Jane Roe CL 6 v. Uber Technologies,*  
20 *Inc.,*  
21 Case No.: 3:23-cv-05647-CRB

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING  
STIPULATION EXTENDING TIME FOR  
THIRD-PARTY PLAINTIFFS UBER  
TECHNOLOGIES, INC., RAISER, LLC,  
AND RAISER-CA, LLC TO RESPOND TO  
THIRD-PARTY DEFENDANT'S  
MOTION TO DISMISS AND MOTION  
FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER**

1 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT SO ORDERED:

2 1. The Stipulation Extending Time for Defendants/Third-Party Plaintiffs Uber Technologies, Inc.,  
3 Raiser, LLC, and Rasier-CA, LLC to respond to Third-Party Defendant's Motion to Dismiss and Motion  
4 for Protective Order is **GRANTED**.

5 2. The March 27, 2025 deadline for Third-Party Plaintiffs to respond to Third-Party Defendant's  
6 Motion to Dismiss and Motion for Protective Order is extended to April 17, 2025, and Third-Party  
7 Defendant's deadline to file reply in support of his Motion to Dismiss and Motion for Protective Order  
8 is extended to April 24, 2025.

9 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

10 DATED: March \_\_\_\_\_, 2025

11 \_\_\_\_\_  
Charles R. Breyer  
United States District Judge  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28